

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.eapo.gov

ELECTRONIC

01/29/2009

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/775,797	02/10/2004	Ramarathnam Venkatesan	MS307073.01/MSFTP588US	MS307073.01/MSFTP588US 9675		
	7590 01/29/2009 CY & CALVIN, LLP	EXAMINER				
127 Public Square			TRAORE, FATOUMATA			
57th Floor, Key CLEVELAND			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLESTIFICATION, OIL WITT			2436			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/775,797	VENKATESAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FATOUMATA TRAORE	2436	

	FATOUMATA TRAORE	2436					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 °C FR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 °C FR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	or reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate stension ten have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checket. A ray reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		oted ciairris.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>	7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: .							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,6-10,14-16,18,20-31 and 33-35.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The afficiavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendments to claims 1,20, 33-35, in particular the added limitation. "wherein the decoder knows the sequence of messages via the decoder being synchronized with the transformation component." raises new issues and requires further consideration and search.